| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 10/590,936 | DE SANTIS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | MAHER HADDAD | 1644 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) <u>MAHER HADDAD</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Silvia Salvadori. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 14 March 2011 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative) | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Participants discussed the informalities in the claims and cancelation of withdrawn claims to place the case in condition for allowance. Applicant agrees to submit a supplemental response to address these issues. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| /Maher M. Haddad/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644 | malianat/Analianat's Day | ing Cinatum if annual (**) |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive aignature – ii appropriate) |